

## Clinical Excellence Awards/ACCEA Important Announcement for Society Members

PathSoc is recognised for 2010 . 2011 as a nominating body by ACCEA . the body which deals with Clinical Excellence Awards in England and Wales. This means that as the designated officer of the Society I can write official Society citations for any applicant or nominee to ACCEA for gold, silver or bronze awards. I can do the same for applicants/nominees to the equivalent Northern Irish and Scottish schemes, though the Society does not currently need to register formally for this. Applicants/nominees should inform me of their application if they wish PathSoc support, by sending me electronically via Ros in the office ([admin@pathsoc.org](mailto:admin@pathsoc.org)) a note requesting our support and a copy of their application CV to ACCEA (or the Northern Irish or Scottish equivalents). Applications will be scored and ranked by a Committee made up of the officers and a lay person independent of the Society, and I shall write citations incorporating the views of this Committee. The deadline for submission to ACCEA is 10<sup>th</sup> December 2010. As we need time to rank applications and write citations, we must receive copies of your application and a request for Society support by **Friday 22<sup>nd</sup> October**. late submissions will not be considered. The criteria on which the scoring will be based are listed below.

Andrew H Wyllie,  
President.

### PATHOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND

#### CLINICAL EXCELLENCE AWARDS – SCORING SYSTEM

##### Introduction

This scoring scheme will be used by Members of the Awards Group of the Pathological Society for rating CVs submitted by members. Awards will be scored by all members of the Group and average scores used to rank applications. Based on ranking, support for awards at different levels will be decided.

##### **Domain 1: Delivering a high quality service**

		Score . out of
A	Evidence of excellence in the delivery of professional commitments. This may include reference to validated performance data, reference to external or peer review reports assessing the quality of the Consultant's service, or the demonstrated usage of evidence-based practice.	2
B	Evidence of excellence in leadership of a multidisciplinary team for which the Consultant has sole or shared responsibility.	2
C	Leadership role in pathology including subspecialty aspects of the discipline. This may include evidence of case referrals on a national and international basis, membership of Standing Committees of the Royal College of Pathologists or national bodies commissioned to provide reports on a particular aspect of pathology practice.	3
D	Outstanding local, regional, national or international contributions to delivering a high quality service. Maintenance of and contribution to professional standards at a national level.	3
<b>Highest Possible Total Score for Domain 1</b>		<b>10</b>

## Domain 2: Developing a high quality service

		Score out of
A	Development of relevant audit cycles and completing them with dispersal of results to the national pathology community. This might include different aspects of pathology workload across the UK.	2
B	Development and delivery of a National External Quality Assessment Scheme for Pathology at a regional or national level.	2
C	Development of professional standards of pathology excellence with evidence of adoption by Society Members and the Royal College of Pathologists.	2
D	Innovation in service delivery, which has had a demonstrable effect. This may include evidence, in the form of published papers and texts, of introduction of major innovations in diagnosis, or new models of delivery of the service.	2
E	Outstanding local, regional, national or international contributions to developing a high quality service.	2
<b>Highest Possible Total Score for Domain 2</b>		<b>10</b>

## Domain 3: Managing a high quality service

		Score out of
A	Development of new policies or plans for the delivery of a pathology service at a regional or national level.	2
B	Major reviews, inquiries or investigations. Membership of policy advisory bodies <i>e.g.</i> RCPATH Committees, the Finance and General Purposes Committee of the Society or the Main Committee of the Society, Department of Health or other Government Committees.	2
C	National policies aimed at modernising services or professional practice.	2
D	Undertaking a formal management role, <i>e.g.</i> Clinical Director, Medical Director, or Director of Postgraduate Medical Education.	2
E	Conducting appraisals/assessments of trainee doctors, other Consultants, or other staff.	2
<b>Highest Possible Total Score for Domain 3</b>		<b>10</b>

## Domain 4: Research

		Score out of
A	Grants held and contribution to the research and supervision of others.	2
B	Research reviewing activities and membership of MRC and other Government or Charity Review Committee.	2
C	Other markers of standing in the chosen research field or fields such as office bearer of learned societies or invited lectures.	2
D	Peer reviewed publications, chapters written and books edited or written, editorial activity, reviews undertaken for major journals.	2

E	The significance of research e.g. the impact of research on patient care or the impact of research on the wider NHS.	2
<b>Highest Possible Total Score for Domain 4</b>		<b>10</b>

#### Domain 5: Teaching and training

		Score out of
A	Evidence of outstanding activity in undergraduate teaching. This should include description of teaching load, examining responsibilities, course administration, curriculum planning, tutoring, and mentoring.	2
B	Postgraduate and professional teaching and training activity. This includes evidence of work for bodies such as Royal Colleges, local training activities, national or international training activities, evidence of the provision of career support for others, and evidence of organisation of educational activities such as national or international meetings for postgraduates.	2
C	Educational publications. This should include any books written with full bibliographic references, explanation of the significance of these books, any Chapters written and explanation of the significance of the Chapters. .	3
D	Summary statement of overall contribution to teaching and training with emphasis on any innovative activities. .	3
<b>Highest Possible Total Score for Domain 5</b>		<b>10</b>

#### Summary

The total maximum score possible will therefore be:

Domain 1	10
Domain 2	10
Domain 3	10
Domain 4	10
Domain 5	10
<b>Maximum Total</b>	<b>50</b>